

Latest GNSS results of the CMONOC network and its application in earthquake monitoring

Junping Chen, Yize Zhang, Yibing Xie, Weijie Tan, Sainan Yang, Bin Wu¹

Abstract: Crustal Movement Observation Network Of China(CMONOC) is a fundamental facility which has wide-range applications in diverse areas with high precision and high spatial resolution. CMONOC network consists of GNSS, VLBI, SLR and gravity observing stations. The observation data contributes to the GNSS meteorology, plate tectonics and earthquake monitoring etc. Shanghai Astronomical Observatory(SHAO) is one of the data centers of the CMONOC network. We developed the CMONOC GNSS data processing platform and provide precise products supporting CMONOC applications. In this paper, we present the latest results from the routine data analysis. Besides the conventional results of GNSS orbits, clocks, ERPs and station coordinates etc., we present for the first time the following results: ① regional velocity field for the 247 continuous stations, which is derived based on integrated data analysis of the CMONOC and IGS network; ② earthquake monitoring by ionosphere disturbance analysis, which is realized by analyzing the ionosphere TEC changes time series using the quartile method; ③ earthquake monitoring by instantaneous station motion analysis, which is determined by differential 1 Hz phase observation in real-time.

Keywords: CMONOC; GGDAA; velocity field; earthquake; ionosphere

1 Introduction

Crustal Movement Observation Network Of China(CMONOC) is in operation since 1999. It is a fundamental facility which has wide-range applications in diverse areas with high precision and high spatial resolution. It consists of 260 GNSS stations, several Very Long Baseline Radio Interferometry (VLBI) and Satellite Ranging (SLR) and precise leveling and gravity stations. Observation data of CMONOC make an important contribution in the field of research concerning the GNSS meteorology [1], plate tectonics and earthquake monitoring [2].

As one of the data center of the CMONOC, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory (SHAO) operates the routine GNSS data analysis since June 2011 [3]. Data analysis implements similar strategies as it used in our IGS routine data analysis [4]. In the CMONOC routine analysis, we combine the observations from the IGS and CMONOC network, and all solutions are aligned to the ITRF2008 frame. Figure 1 shows the number of stations processed in our routine job, where around 300 stations are

J. Chen ((✉)) • Y. Zhang • Y. Xie • W. Tan • S. Yang • B. Wu

Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Science,

Shanghai, People's Republic of China

e-mail: junping@shao.ac.cn

Y. Zhang • Y. Xie

College of Surveying and Geo-Informatics, Tongji University,

Shanghai, People's Republic of China

processed per day with around 80 are IGS sites. Based on daily solutions, precise orbits, clocks, troposphere and coordinates etc. are derived [5]. Besides these precise products, we present for the first time the following new results: ① regional velocity field for the 247 continuous stations, which is derived based on integrated data analysis of the CMONOC and IGS network; ② earthquake monitoring by ionosphere disturbance analysis, which is realized by analyzing the ionosphere TEC changes time series using the quartile method; ③ earthquake monitoring by instantaneous station motion analysis, which is determined by differential 1 Hz phase observation in real-time.




Fig.1 Number of stations processed at the SHAO, where around 80 stations are from the IGS global tracking network. Data analysis is in daily batch with latency of 1 day, and stations with latency of more than 1 day in data transfer are not included in the daily solution.

2 Velocity field of the CMONOC GNSS stations

We start the daily solution since January 2011, which includes 252 stations till December 2013. To obtain velocity field of the continental China, we combine all the daily solutions using the software QOCA (Quasi-observation Combination Analysis, <http://gipsy.jpl.nasa.gov/qoca>) [6]. The strategy of data combination follows the following 3 steps: ① generation of the daily solutions, which are under the ITRF2008 frame; ② data combination using the package of analyze_tseri of QOCA to get velocity of continental China for the 247 stations with data interval longer than 1 year; ③ velocity transformation from global ITRF2008 frame to the stable Eurasia plate (EURA).

In the data combination using QOCA, we carry out data editing for the time series jumps due to known events, including coseismic offsets due to the Japan earthquake in 2011 and Lushan earthquake in 2013; nonlinear variations of some stations as YNXP, YNJD and YNSM in Yunnan province. Annual/semiannual periodical motion is considered for all sites. In addition we perform the principal component analysis (PCA) to remove the common mode error from each raw time series [7]. For the velocity transformation, we follow the NNR-NUVEL model and select 11 reference stations [8] to calculate the Euler vector of the EURA plate. Weighted least squares algorithm is applied in the estimation of the Euler vectors through an iterative process [9]. The resulting Euler vectors are (54.30° N, 100.30° W), and $0.253^{\circ}/\text{M.a}$. The horizontal velocity field of continental China respect to EURA is shown in Figure 2, where 247 stations are included.




Figure 2. CMONOC GPS result of the horizontal velocity field of continental China respect to EURA
The arrows represent the velocities. The ellipses represent the 95% confidence level.

3 Ionospheric disturbance monitoring using the CMONOC network

Ionosphere abnormal disturbances were observed for the first time in the 1965 Alaska earthquake [10], and the analysis of the seismic ionospheric disturbances is developed since then. The comparison between the instantaneous TEC and statistical quantity of TEC (Total Electron Content) reflects such disturbances [11]. Statistical quantity of TEC could be selected according to the quartile definition, where the first quartile (designated Q1) splits off the lowest 25% of data from the highest 75%; and the second quartile (designated Q2) cuts data set in half; the third quartile (designated Q3) splits off the highest 25% of data from the lowest 75%. The difference between the Q3 and Q1 quartiles is called the interquartile range (IQR). We define in this paper the upper quartile L1 as the sum of the Q2 and IQR and the lower quartile L2 as the difference between Q2 and IQR. Ionospheric disturbances is detected when the instantaneous TEC is not within the range defined by the upper and lower quartiles.

According to [11], the TEC quartiles at current epoch is calculated using a sample of 15 TECs, where the TECs at same epoch of successive 15 days are used. We set up the ionospheric disturbances monitoring system based on this strategy and the system run in the following way: ① calculation of the VTEC (Vertical TEC) using precise models, e.g. the GIM (Global Ionospheric Mapping) of the IGS; ② calculation the real-time VTEC and VTEC quartiles of current epoch; ③ comparison between real-time VTEC and VTEC quartiles to check for ionospheric disturbances.

Taking the Lushan (Sichuan Province) $M_s 7.0$ earthquake on April 20, 2013 as an example. We use the IGS GIM data to calculate the VTEC over the epicenter (30.3° N , 103.0° E), as shown in figure 3. From figure 3, VTEC disturbance is obvious on April 16-April 21 with observed VTEC below the VTEC lower bounds (L2), especially on the day before earthquake. The VTEC gradually return to normal and stable after April 22. According to the data provided by the national center for space science, Chinese academy of sciences, the geomagnetic disturbance coefficient Kp and Dst index are at lower levels, which confirms ionospheric disturbance during this earthquake is not caused by geomagnetic storm or similar physical environment.





Fig.3 Time series of VTEC over the epicenter of Lushan earthquake, where “mean” refers to the VTEC median over the same epoch of successive 15 days and “real” refers to real-time VTEC observed at each epoch

To further illustrate the ionospheric VTEC abnormalities during this earthquake, we check the results of two CMONOC stations near the epicenter, namely SCDF (30.978° N,101.122° E) and YNDC (26.108° N,103.181° E). The real-time VTEC and VTEC quartiles time series are shown in figure 4. We observe that VTECs are below the lower bounds (L2) during the same period as for the epicenter.






Fig.4 Time series of VTEC for 4 stations during the Lushan earthquake, where “mean” refers to the VTEC median over the same epoch of successive 15 days and “real” refers to real-time VTEC observed at each epoch

4 Coseismic monitoring using the CMONOC network

With the fast development of high frequency GNSS technology, GNSS data plays an important role in instantaneous station motion monitoring and earthquake source rupture processing. Traditional GNSS high-frequency data processing is based on the PPP (Precise Point Positioning) or double difference baseline solution to obtain the instantaneous site displacements. However, the station position estimates are affected by unknown error resources and are limited by the capability of ambiguity fixing. Thus these strategies are still challenging for earthquake monitoring [12]. To overcome the limitations of the position solutions, the strategy of so-called instantaneous site velocity solution [12] is developed by using the GNSS Doppler observations.

Applying this strategy, we set up the coseismic monitoring system for the continuous station in the CMONOC network and the system runs in the following way: ① retrieval of real-time high rate streams or files from internet protocols; ② calculation of the Doppler observations from the phase observations, and estimation of station instantaneous velocity based on Doppler observation; ③ check for coseismic offsets based on the instantaneous solution.

Taking the Xinjiang Ms6.6 earthquake on June 30,2012 as an example. The earthquake happened at 05:07:31 (UTC 21:07:31 on June 29), and the stations XJSH (137 Km away from epicenter, sampling 1s) and XJKC (240 Km away from epicenter, sampling 1s) tracks the coseismic displacement. Figure 5 illustrates the location of the epicenter and two stations. Using the above mentioned strategy, we analysis the instantaneous velocity and coseismic offsets as shown in figures 6 and 7. The east components of the station velocity exhibit pure white noise feature before the earthquake. The estimated instantaneous velocity and coseismic offsets show obvious changes when the seismic waves reach these stations, where maximum amplitude of instantaneous velocity reaches 16mm/s for XJSH and 13mm/s for XJKC, and the maximum coseismic offset reaches 11mm for XJSH and 10mm XJKC, respectively. The shaking effects continue for around 20 seconds, the stations become static and the estimated instantaneous velocity restore to white noise after that. We observe that the epoch that seismic wave arrives at the station XJSH is around 31 seconds ahead of the time it reaches XJKC. Based on the time difference, we calculate the seismic waves speed as 3.32Km/s.

The high rate data of the CMONOC network is current archived in file with latency of one day, and the coseismic monitoring is currently performed in post-processing mode. With the stations being upgraded to real-time capability, the system will support real-time coseismic monitoring.




Fig.5 Plot of the epicenter and selected stations




Fig.6 Velocity and coseismic displacement time series of station XJSH, 150 Km away from epicenter




Fig.7 Velocity and coseismic displacement time series of station XJKC, 250 Km away from epicenter

5 Conclusions

We present the latest results from the CMONOC data analysis center at the Shanghai Astronomical Observatory. On the basis of the routine GNSS data analysis of the CMONOC and IGS sites, we present three new achievements: ①the velocity field of continuous stations, which is released for the first time based on the combination of 3 years' daily solutions; ②quartile based VTEC monitoring system, which is applied to the ionospheric disturbance monitoring during the Lushan earthquake; and ③the instantaneous velocity strategy based coseismic monitoring system, with which the instantaneous velocity changes and coseismic offsets are monitored during the 2012 Xinjiang earthquake. The application of CMONOC data in earthquake monitoring could be realized in real-time with the network upgraded to real-time.

Acknowledgment This paper is supported by the 100 Talents Programme of The Chinese Academy of Sciences, the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2013AA122402), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 11273046 and 40974018), and the Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology (Grant No. 12DZ2273300, 13PJ1409900).

References

- 1 Wang Yong, Liu Lintao, Liang Hongyou, Ding Keliang, et al. Research on precipitable water vapor in plateau and plain areas with GPS technique. *Journal of Geodesy and Geodynamics*, 2006, 26(1):88-92 (in Chinese).
- 2 Liu Jingnan, Shi Chuang, Xu Caijun, Jiang Weiping. Present-day Crustal Movement Speed Field of China Continent Block using Local Repeated GPS Network. *Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University*, 2001, 26(3):189-195 (in Chinese).
- 3 Chen Junping, Wu Bin, Hu Xiaogong, Li Haojun. Shanghai astronomical observatory CMONOC data analysis center. The third China satellite navigation academic conference electronic proceedings-S08 satellite navigation model and method, 2012 (in Chinese).
- 4 Chen, Junping, Wu, Bin; Hu, Xiaogong; Li, Haojun, SHA: The GNSS analysis center at SHAO. *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*, v 160 LNEE, p 213-221, 2012, Proceedings - 3rd China Satellite Navigation Conference, CSNC 2012, Revised Selected Papers
- 5 shanghai Observatory GNSS Analysis Center: www.shao.ac.cn/shao_gnss_ac.
- 6 Dong, D., Herring, T.A. & King, R.W., 1998. Estimating regional deformation from a combination of space and terrestrial geodetic data, *J. Geodyn.*, 72, 200–214.
- 7 Dong Danan, Fang Peng, et al. Spatiotemporal filtering using principal component analysis and Karhunen-Loeve expansion approaches for regional GPS network analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. 2006.111.B03405
- 8 Wang Min, Shen Zhengkang, Niu Zhijun, et al. The crustal movement of and activity block model. *Science in*

- China: 2003.33 (in Chinese).
- 9 Ye Shuhua, Huang Cheng. Astrogeodynamics. Shandong Science and Technology Press. 2000 (in Chinese).
- 10 BARNES R A, LEONARD R S.Observations of ionospheric disturbance following the Alaska earthquake. J Geophys Res,1965,70(9):1250-1253
- 11 Zhao Ying, Zhang Xiaohong, Liu Jingnan. The ionospheric electron content disturbance analysis before and after the WenChun earthquake.Progress in geophysics, 2010,25(4):447-453 (in Chinese).
- 12 Zhang Xiaohong, Guo Bofeng.Real-time tracking the instantaneous movement of crust during earthquake with a stand-alone GPS receiver. Chinese Journal of Geophysics, 2013, 56 (2): 1928-1936 (in Chinese).
- 13Colosimo G, Crespi M, Mazzoni A (2011) Real-time GPS seismology with a stand-alone receiver: a preliminary feasibility demonstration. J Geophys Res 116, B11302. doi:10.1029/2010JB0079